# α-Catenin homodimers are recruited to phosphoinositide-activated membranes to promote adhesion

## Megan N. Wood,<sup>1,4</sup> Noboru Ishiyama,<sup>5</sup>\* Indira Singaram,<sup>7</sup>\* Connie M. Chung,<sup>1</sup> Annette S. Flozak,<sup>1</sup> Alex Yemelyanov,<sup>1,3</sup> Mitsu Ikura,<sup>5,6</sup> Wonhwa Cho,<sup>7,8</sup> and Cara J. Gottardi<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, <sup>2</sup>Department of Cellular and Molecular Biology, <sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry of Life Processes, and <sup>4</sup>The Driskill Graduate Training Program in Life Sciences, Northwestern University, Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL

<sup>5</sup>Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, University Health Network and <sup>6</sup>Division of Signaling Biology, Ontario Cancer Institute, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

<sup>7</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL

<sup>8</sup>Department of Genetic Engineering, Kyung Hee University, Yongin, Republic of Korea

A unique feature of  $\alpha$ -catenin localized outside the cadherin–catenin complex is its capacity to form homodimers, but the subcellular localization and functions of this form of  $\alpha$ -catenin remain incompletely understood. We identified a cadherin-free form of  $\alpha$ -catenin that is recruited to the leading edge of migrating cells in a phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase– dependent manner. Surface plasmon resonance analysis shows that  $\alpha$ -catenin homodimers, but not monomers, selectively bind phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate–containing lipid vesicles with high affinity, where three basic residues, K488, K493, and R496, contribute to binding. Chemical-induced dimerization of  $\alpha$ -catenin containing a synthetic dimerization domain promotes its accumulation within lamellipodia and elaboration of protrusions with extended filopodia, which are attenuated in the  $\alpha$ -catenin<sup>KKR<3A</sup> mutant. Cells restored with a full-length, natively homodimerizing form of  $\alpha$ -catenin<sup>KKR<3A</sup> display reduced membrane recruitment, altered epithelial sheet migrations, and weaker cell–cell adhesion compared with WT  $\alpha$ -catenin. These findings show that  $\alpha$ -catenin homodimers are recruited to phosphoinositide-activated membranes to promote adhesion and migration, suggesting that phosphoinositide binding may be a defining feature of  $\alpha$ -catenin function outside the cadherin–catenin complex.

# Introduction

BIOLOGY

ELL

C

ш.

0

JOURNAL

ш

I

The cadherin–catenin adhesive complex is widely viewed as an important regulator of intercellular interactions. One challenge of studying this system is that adherens junction linkage components (i.e., catenins) can also localize to other parts of the cell, where they carry out distinct, "extrajunctional" functions. For example, p120 and  $\beta$ -catenin are not only important for adhesion but are critical cofactors for DNA-binding proteins that direct Wnt-activated cell fate decisions (McCrea and Gottardi, 2016). Thus, interpretation of catenin knockout studies are confounded by their multifunctionality, particularly given that they often engage both signaling and adhesive machinery via overlapping binding surfaces (McCrea et al., 2015).

A similar problem exists for understanding the roles of  $\alpha$ -catenin ( $\alpha$ Cat), a filamentous F-actin–binding protein found

\*N. Ishiyama and I. Singaram contributed equally to this paper.

as both junctional and extrajunctional forms (Schneider et al., 1993; Benjamin et al., 2010). αCat bound to the cadherincatenin complex functions as a force-activated F-actin-binding protein (Buckley et al., 2014), while the epithelial isoform of  $\alpha$ Cat also exists as extrajunctional  $\alpha$ Cat in the cytosol and nucleus, where the cytoskeletal and signaling roles for  $\alpha$ Cat monomer, homodimer, and heterodimer (with  $\beta$ -catenin) are just emerging (Stewart and Nelson, 1997; Benjamin et al., 2010; Daugherty et al., 2014). Because  $\alpha$ Cat homodimerization is structurally incompatible with  $\beta$ -catenin binding (Koslov et al., 1997; Obama and Ozawa, 1997) and purified recombinant aCat homodimers show better binding to F-actin than monomeric  $\alpha$ Cat in solution (Drees et al., 2005), it was reasoned that extrajunctional  $\alpha$ Cat homodimers might serve a distinct. F-actin-regulating function. However, full understanding of this functional pool remains limited. Indeed, extrajunctional  $\alpha$ Cat can suppress in vitro actin assembly mediated by the branching protein Arp2/3 (Drees et al., 2005), possibly by

Supplemental material can be found at: http://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201612006

The Rockefeller University Press J. Cell Biol. Vol. 216 No. 11 3767–3783 https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201612006



Correspondence to Cara J. Gottardi: c-gottardi@northwestern.edu

Abbreviations used: aCat, a-catenin; BN-PAGE, blue native PAGE; BR, biological replicate; CCD, charge-coupled device; FOV, field of view; PI3K, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase; PIP<sub>2</sub>, phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate; PIP<sub>3</sub>, phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate; POPC, 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine; POPS, 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoserine; RU, resonance units; SPR, surface plasmon resonance; TIRF, total internal reflection fluorescence.

<sup>© 2017</sup> Wood et al. This article is distributed under the terms of an Attribution–Noncommercial– Share Alike–No Mirror Sites license for the first six months after the publication date (see http://www.rupress.org/terms/). After six months it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike 4.0 International license, as described at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).

competitive binding to actin filaments (Hansen et al., 2013), as well as antagonize lamellipodial activity in cells (Benjamin et al., 2010). However, these studies have not addressed the specific contribution of a Cat homodimerization to these activities or epithelial cell behaviors. Although the relatively high  $K_d$  of  $\alpha$ Cat homodimers (25–73  $\mu$ M; Ishiyama et al., 2013; Pokutta et al., 2014) with respect to the estimated concentration of cytosolic  $\alpha$ Cat in cells (0.6  $\mu$ M; Drees et al., 2005; Yamada et al., 2005) raises the possibility that a mechanism to increase the local concentration of a Cat may be required to favor homodimerization in vivo, evidence that homodimer dissociation is kinetically blocked (Pokutta et al., 2014) could offset the need for a concentration mechanism. Nonetheless, the structural constraints of a Cat homodimers clearly dictate a function outside the cadherin-catenin complex, but how and where this form of  $\alpha$ Cat is generated in the cell remain unknown.

Although  $\alpha$ Cat homodimerization is a conserved feature in *Drosophila melanogaster*, albeit not all  $\alpha$ Cats (Kwiatkowski et al., 2010; Dickinson et al., 2011; Desai et al., 2013; Miller et al., 2013), there are currently no minimal mutations that separate  $\alpha$ Cat heterodimerization with  $\beta$ -catenin from  $\alpha$ Cat homodimerization to generate a form of  $\alpha$ Cat that specifically lacks homodimer function, while sparing  $\alpha$ Cat binding to the cadherin–catenin complex. In this study, we make use of a pancadherin null cell line and a chemical-induced dimerization system to define the localization of extrajunctional  $\alpha$ Cat and function of  $\alpha$ Cat homodimers in epithelial cells. We also show that  $\alpha$ Cat contains a region of positively charged residues that contributes to phosphoinositide-dependent membrane recruitment and processes important for cell–cell adhesion.

## Results

Cadherin-independent mechanism of  $\alpha$ Cat membrane recruitment

To visualize the properties of  $\alpha$ Cat outside of the cadherin– catenin complex, we took advantage of an established cell line (epidermoid A431D carcinoma cells) that completely lacks cadherins and detectable catenins (Lewis et al., 1997). Stable expression of GFP– $\alpha$ Cat in scratch-wounded A431D cells revealed its recruitment to the leading edge of the wound front (Fig. 1 A), a phenomenon that was also observed in GFP– $\alpha$ Cat– restored R2/7 cells, a DLD1 human colon cancer variant that expresses E-cadherin and  $\beta$ -catenin but lacks  $\alpha$ Cat expression and functional adhesions (van Hengel et al., 1997; Watabe-Uchida et al., 1998; Fig. 1, B and C). This pool of  $\alpha$ Cat colocalized with F-actin (Fig. 1, A and B) but not E-cadherin (Fig. 1 C), suggesting that F-actin may be a key functional target of extrajunctional  $\alpha$ Cat in cells.

# Forced dimerization of $\alpha$ Cat promotes its cortical recruitment

Because  $\alpha$ Cat homodimerization is thought to be a defining feature of extrajunctional  $\alpha$ Cat, we sought to assess the unique contributions of  $\alpha$ Cat homodimerization to its cellular localization and function. Toward this end, we used a temporal and reversible system to chemically induce homodimerization of the cytosolic pool of  $\alpha$ Cat (Fig. 1 D). We induced  $\alpha$ Cat homodimers by replacing the native,  $\alpha$ Cat N-terminal domain with the DmrB dimerization domain (iDimerize system, depicted in brown), which can be temporally controlled with a small bidentate ligand (B/B, depicted as a yellow oval) and reversed by a monodentate washout ligand (Belshaw et al., 1996; mCherry-Dmr- $\Delta N\alpha Cat$ , hereafter referred to as  $\Delta N\alpha Cat$ ; Fig. 1 D). This deletion was required to prevent both the native homodimerization of  $\alpha Cat$  and its recruitment to and function within the cadherin–catenin complex. By replacing this region with the DmrB dimerization domain, we ensured that  $\alpha Cat$  dimerization function was driven exclusively by the Dmr domain and B/B ligand. Because  $\alpha Cat$  lacking the N-terminal domain largely rescues cell–cell adhesion (Kadowaki et al., 1994; Imamura et al., 1999) and *Drosophila* embryogenesis when fused directly to the E-cadherin cytoplasmic domain (Desai et al., 2013), we reasoned that the  $\Delta N\alpha Cat$  construct constituted the best way to begin assessing the contributions of extrajunctional  $\alpha Cat$  homodimers to F-actin organization and cell behaviors.

An mCherry-DmrB (hereafter referred to as mCherry) construct served as a control to verify that observed phenotypes were not due to the presence of the DmrB domain, mCherry tag, or dimerization ligands. We expressed mCherry or  $\Delta N\alpha Cat$  in R2/7 DLD1 cells. Immunoblot analysis confirmed that  $\Delta N\alpha Cat$ is expressed similarly to mCherry-tagged FLaCat (Fig. S1 A), and coimmunoprecipitation confirmed that  $\Delta N\alpha Cat$  does not associate with the cadherin-catenin complex (Fig. S1 B). Analysis of these constructs by blue native PAGE (BN-PAGE) demonstrated B/B dose-dependent formation of dimers (Fig. 1 E). Although the  $\Delta N\alpha Cat$  construct did not appear to dimerize as efficiently as mCherry, we speculate that some of the  $\Delta N\alpha Cat$  is underrepresented because of dimer-dependent interactions that impede its mobility by native gel analysis. With this system, we found that forced dimerization of  $\Delta N\alpha Cat$  promoted its recruitment to cell protrusions within 5 min (Video 1 and Fig. 1 F). These data suggest that homodimerization of  $\alpha$ Cat is largely sufficient to control its cortical localization in cells.

#### Forced dimerization of $\alpha$ Cat promotes formation of filopodia and radiating protrusions at nascent contacts

To assess the unique contributions of  $\alpha$ Cat homodimerization to actin organization and function, we performed live-cell imaging in  $\Delta N \alpha$ Cat cells coinfected with GFP-LifeAct.  $\alpha$ Cat forced dimers were rapidly recruited to the cell periphery as a function of B/B dimerization ligand, where we also observed the formation of prominent filopodia (Video 1 and Fig. 2 A). Filopodia abundance reached a maximum of 12–15 min after drug treatment. Changes in actin density were also apparent at the ultrastructural level using platinum replica electron microscopy (Fig. 2 B).

Although these studies reveal how  $\alpha$ Cat forced dimers could acutely affect F-actin organization in single cells, we also sought to determine the consequences of  $\alpha$ Cat forced dimerization during more sustained drug incubation times (3–15 h) in the context of cells proximal to neighbors. Under these conditions, we observed the formation of "radial protrusions," structures with a broad lamellipodial base and radiating filopodia at nascent cell-cell contacts (Fig. 2, C and D). Importantly, the length of the filopodia directly correlated with the dose of B/B (Fig. 2, E and F). Because recent studies implicated filopodial protrusions as being important for cell-cell formation (Mattila and Lappalainen, 2008; Hoelzle and Svitkina, 2012) and compaction (Fierro-González et al., 2013), we wondered whether these protrusions enhanced cell-cell contact. Indeed, the B/B ligand promoted close cell-cell contact in  $\Delta N\alpha Cat$ cells and was fully reversible with the washout ligand (Fig. 2 G



Figure 1. Forced dimerization of  $\alpha$ Cat is sufficient for its cortical recruitment. (A) Cadherin-independent recruitment of  $\alpha$ Cat to the leading edge. Fluorescent images of GFP- $\alpha$ Cat localization in scratch-wounded A431D cells.  $\alpha$ Cat (green) colocalizes with F-actin (red) at wound front. (B) GFP- $\alpha$ Cat localization in wounded R2/7 cells. (C) GFP- $\alpha$ Cat does not colocalize with E-cadherin (red). Arrows show  $\alpha$ Cat enrichment at protrusions. Bars, 20 µm (A-C). (D) Schematic of iDimerize system. N terminus (aa 1–267) was replaced with the synthetic dimerization (DmrB) domain (brown), which is dimerized by the small molecule B/B (yellow). (E) BN-PAGE analysis of dimer formation (D) relative to monomer (M); B/B treatment = 3 h. (F)  $\Delta$ N $\alpha$ Cat is recruited to periphery within 5 s of B/B treatment. Bars, 10 µm. See also Video 1.

[arrows depict close proximity] and Video 2). Altogether, these data suggest that  $\alpha$ Cat dimerization may direct the formation of radial protrusions that appear important for initiating nascent cell–cell contact.

#### $\alpha \mbox{Cat}$ dimers prefer phosphatidylinositol-

**3,4,5-trisphosphate (PIP<sub>3</sub>) phosphoinositides** Many actin-binding proteins bind phosphoinositides (PtdInsP) as a means to control their membrane proximal activation (Yin and Janmey, 2003), and the  $\alpha$ Cat homologue, vinculin, is an established phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP<sub>2</sub>)– binding protein (Weekes et al., 1996; Palmer et al., 2009). To explore the possibility that  $\alpha$ Cat binds to PtdInsP as a way to facilitate its cortical recruitment, we first assessed the binding of purified recombinant full-length (FL)  $\alpha$ Cat dimers (separated by size exclusion chromatography; Fig. 3 C) to vesicles containing seven different PtdInsP (i.e., 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3phosphocholine [POPC]/1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3phosphoserine [POPS]/PtdInsP [77:20:3]) by surface plasmon resonance (SPR) analysis. Sensorgrams show that the  $\alpha$ Cat



Figure 2. Forced dimerization of  $\alpha$ Cat enhances filopodia on radiating protrusions at nascent contacts. (A)  $\Delta N\alpha$ Cat dimerization by B/B promotes filopodia formation. Filopodia were counted every 1 s during a video of force dimerization (n = 6 FOVs from two BRs; data are mean  $\pm$  SD). Bars, 10 µm. See also Video 1. (B) Actin ultrastructure of dimerized  $\Delta N\alpha$ Cat ( $\pm$ B/B) by platinum replica electron microscopy. Bars, 500 nm. Arrows show  $\alpha$ Cat enrichment at protrusions. (C) Epifluorescence microscopy of radial protrusions (RPs; white arrows) induced by homodimerization. Bars, 20 µm. (D) Blinded quantification of RPs (n > 150 cells; FOV counts ratioed to total number of cells to account for variations in cell density; Materials and methods; data are mean  $\pm$  SD). (E) Structured illumination microscopy (SIM) of RPs with filopodia. Bars, 5 µm. (F) Quantification of filopodia length (n > 13 FOVs; three BRs; data are mean  $\pm$  SD). Significance in D and F by ANOVA. \*\*, P < 0.01; \*\*\*, P < 0.001; \*\*\*\*, P < 0.0001. (G) Time-lapse analysis of B/B-treated  $\Delta N\alpha$ Cat cells confacted with GFP-LifeAct. Arrows indicate prolonged cell–cell contact upon homodimerization, which is reversed by washout ligand. Bars, 10 µm. See also Video 2.

dimer effectively binds these vesicles and shows selectivity for vesicles containing PIP<sub>3</sub> over those containing other PtdInsP (Fig. 3 A). This PIP<sub>3</sub> selectivity is comparable to that reported for other canonical PIP<sub>3</sub>-binding proteins, including the PDK1 pleckstrin homology domain (Lucas and Cho, 2011; Park et al., 2016). Importantly, the PIP<sub>3</sub> selectivity of the  $\alpha$ Cat dimer is not due to nonspecific electrostatic effects, because it showed low affinity for POPC/POPS (65:35) vesicles that have the same net charge as POPC/POPS/PIP<sub>3</sub> (77:20:3) vesicles (unpublished data). Remarkably, the  $\alpha$ Cat monomer did not show any detectable binding to any of the PtdInsP-containing vesicles under these same conditions (Fig. 3 B, binding to PIP<sub>3</sub> shown), indicating that the dimer is the active form of  $\alpha$ Cat for PtdInsP-dependent membrane binding.

To identify domains that could serve to recruit  $\alpha$ Cat homodimers to PIP<sub>3</sub>-activated membranes, we interrogated candidate motifs using the ScanSite database (Obenauer et al., 2003). This algorithm identified a low-stringency PIP<sub>3</sub>-binding motif in the  $\alpha$ Cat middle M2 domain (Fig. 3 D). This motif contained three basic residues, a feature thought to promote electrostatic interactions with the plasma membrane (Mc-Laughlin and Murray, 2005; Mulgrew-Nesbitt et al., 2006). As this motif is surface exposed in the crystal structure of the  $\alpha$ Cat homodimer (Rangarajan and Izard, 2013; Fig. 3 D, red-filled residues), we developed an  $\alpha$ Cat mutant in which three basic residues (positively charged at physiological pH) within this putative membrane-recruitment domain of M2 were changed to alanine residues (FL  $\alpha$ Cat K488A/K493A/R496A, hereafter referred to as KKR<3A).

To exclude the possibility that these point mutations destabilized the tertiary structure of  $\alpha$ Cat, we assessed the physical properties of purified recombinant  $\alpha$ Cat<sup>KKR<3A</sup> relative to WT  $\alpha$ Cat. The proteins showed a similar capacity to form homodimers by size exclusion chromatography coupled with multi-angle light scattering (Fig. 3 C) and BN-PAGE (Fig. 3 F). This mutant also displayed nearly identical secondary structure and melting temperatures as the FL protein (Fig. 3 E), indicating that these charge substitutions do not significantly alter the overall structure of  $\alpha$ Cat. Last, the F-actin–binding properties of  $\alpha$ Cat<sup>KKR>3A</sup> were not significantly different from the WT  $\alpha$ Cat (Fig. S1 C).

To evaluate whether these point mutations reduced the affinity of recombinant  $\alpha$ Cat homodimers for PIP<sub>3</sub>, we first quantitatively determined the affinity of the  $\alpha$ Cat dimer to POPC/ POPS/PIP<sub>3</sub> (77:20:3) vesicles by equilibrium SPR analysis. The apparent dissociation constant  $(K_d)$  calculated for this binding (i.e.,  $30 \pm 3$  nM) is comparable with that of the PDK1 pleckstrin homology domain determined under the same conditions (Lucas and Cho, 2011; Fig. 3 G). This demonstrates that the αCat dimer shows exceptionally high affinity for PIP<sub>3</sub>-containing membranes and suggests the potential importance of PIP<sub>3</sub> binding to the cellular function and regulation of  $\alpha$ Cat. We then assessed the affinity of the mutant  $\alpha Cat^{KKR>3A}$  homodimer for comparison and found that this mutant showed reduced binding to POPC/POPS/PIP<sub>3</sub> (77:20:3) vesicles with  $K_d = 73 \pm 15$  nM (Fig. 3, G and H). Although the mutation decreased the overall membrane affinity of the  $\alpha$ Cat dimer by ~2.4-fold, it did not affect the PtdInsP selectivity of the protein, as indicated by the similar binding ratio between the WT and mutant  $\alpha$ Cat (i.e., ratio of resonance units [RU] for PIP<sub>3</sub> to RU for PIP<sub>2</sub>) We reason, therefore, that the KKR basic patch in  $\alpha$ Cat contributes to membrane binding likely via PtdInsP-independent electrostatic

interactions, where the  $\alpha Cat^{KKR>3A}$  mutant can be used to assess the physiological importance of membrane binding activity of the  $\alpha Cat$  homodimer.

#### $\alpha$ Cat localization, homodimerization, and

**phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) signaling** Consistent with the observed specificity of  $\alpha$ Cat dimers for PIP<sub>3</sub>, it is well established that the leading edge of migrating cells is characterized by PIP<sub>3</sub> accumulation, rather than PIP<sub>2</sub> signaling lipids (Postma et al., 2004; Cai and Devreotes, 2011). Therefore, we sought to determine whether the localization of extrajunctional  $\alpha$ Cat to the leading edge generated after wounding was dependent on PI3K signaling. Indeed, a PI3K inhibitor, wortmannin, completely blocked the recruitment of  $\alpha$ Cat to the wound front (Fig. 4, A and B). Conversely, activation of the EGF receptor (highly up-regulated in A431D cells; Engelman et al., 2005) was sufficient to increase  $\alpha$ Cat enrichments at the cell periphery (Fig. 4, A and D; and Video 3). Thus, the cadherin-free pool of  $\alpha$ Cat can respond to growth factor signals that activate PI3K.

Estimates that the  $K_d$  of  $\alpha$ Cat homodimers is >40 times higher than the concentration of cytosolic  $\alpha$ Cat in cells (Drees et al., 2005) raise the possibility that PI3K-dependent localization of  $\alpha$ Cat to PIP<sub>3</sub>-enriched membranes (Fig. 4 A) might facilitate formation of  $\alpha$ Cat homodimers by enhancing the local concentration of  $\alpha$ Cat through reduction of dimensionality. However, inhibiting PIP<sub>3</sub> formation with wortmannin failed to alter the monomer/dimer ratio of cytosolic aCat by native gel analysis following endogenous a Cat from parental DLD1 cells (Fig. S1 D). Curiously, the detection of cytosolic αCat increased under wortmannin treatment (Fig. S1 D), perhaps consistent with the idea that  $\alpha$ Cat binding to PIP<sub>3</sub> promotes recruitment to activated membranes. Indeed, R2/7 cells expressing FL  $\alpha$ Cat or FLaCatKKR<3A showed that FLaCatKKR<3A was more abundant in the cytosolic fraction, whereas wortmannin treatment modestly increased the amount of FLaCat detected in the cytosolic fraction (Fig. S1 E). No differences were seen between  $\alpha$ Cat incorporation within the E-cadherin complex (Fig. S1 F). These data suggest that  $\alpha$ Cat binding to PIP<sub>3</sub> is not required to promote homodimer formation in cells but rather its localization to membranes, although the slow dissociation of  $\alpha$ Cat homodimers may be a confounding factor in this assessment (Pokutta et al., 2014).

# Filopodia promoted by force dimerization are reduced in an $\Delta N \alpha Cat^{KR < 3A}$ mutant

We next sought to evaluate whether mutation of the KKR basic patch in our forced-homodimer system ( $\Delta N \alpha Cat^{KKR < 3A}$ ) altered cortical actin phenotypes observed in Fig. 2. We confirmed dimerization of these constructs by BN-PAGE (Fig. 4 B). But remarkably, dimerization of  $\Delta N \alpha Cat^{KKR < 3A}$  did not promote filopodia formation (Video 1 and Fig. 4 C; mean number of filopodia, 3.2  $\pm$  0.56 for  $\Delta N\alpha Cat$  vs. 11.83  $\pm$  2.71 for  $\Delta N\alpha$ -Cat<sup>KKR<3A</sup>) or the substantial formation of radiating protrusions (Fig. 4, D and E). The minor PIP<sub>3</sub>-independent contribution of  $\alpha$ Cat forced dimers to radial protrusion formation may be due to the modest overexpression of these F-actin-binding proteins (Fig. S1 A). Additionally, the filopodia observed were significantly shorter (Fig. 4, F and G), and protrusive structures were unable to promote prolonged contact between cells during the drug course study (Fig. 4 H, relative to Fig. 2 G; and Video 2). Together, these data demonstrate that proper



Figure 3. aCat homodimers prefer PIP3 phosphoinositides. (A) Phosphoinositide (PtdInsP) selectivity of WT, full-length (FL) aCat dimer. SPR sensorgrams for seven different POPC/POPS/PtdInsP (77:20:3) vesicles are shown (from top to bottom): PIP<sub>3</sub> >> PIP<sub>2</sub> > phosphatidylinositol-3,4-bisphosphate (PI34P<sub>2</sub>) ≈ phosphatidylinositol-5-monophosphate (PI5P) > phosphatidylinositol-4-monophosphate (PI4P) > phosphatidylinositol-3,5-bisphosphate (PI35P<sub>2</sub>) ≈ phosphatidylinositol-3-monophosphate (PI3P). 200 nM aCat was used for all measurements. Data are representative of three experiments. (B) Binding of aCat homodimer versus monomer (200 nM each) to POPC/POPS/PIP3 (77:20:3) vesicles. Data are representative of three experiments. (C) Chromatographic separation of monomer (M) and dimer (D) fractions of recombinant a Cat proteins. (D) a Cat schematic with KKR-basic patch overlaid on crystal structure of aCat dimer. Subunit A in gray; subunit B in green/blue; basic patch in red. (E) Circular dichroism (CD) spectra thermal denaturation analysis of purified recombinant protein; FLaCat (red trace) or FLaCat<sup>KKR<3A</sup> (blue trace) proteins. No global differences between WT and mutant protein were detected. Wavelength scan: n = 4; temperature scan: n = 2. (F) SDS-PAGE (top) and BN-PAGE (bottom) analysis of purified proteins (10 µg). (G) Membrane binding isotherms for FL  $\alpha$ Cat dimer (open symbols) and FL<sup>KKR<3A</sup> mutant dimer (closed symbols). n = 3; data are mean  $\pm$  SD. Lines represent theoretical curves constructed from apparent dissociation constant ( $K_{d}$ ) and the maximal  $R_{eq}$  value ( $R_{max}$ ) determined from by nonlinear least squares analysis of the binding isotherm using the equation  $R_{eq} = R_{max}/(1 + K_d/P_o)$ .  $K_d = 30 \pm 3$  nM for WT and 73  $\pm 15$  nM for the mutant. (H) PIP<sub>3</sub> versus PIP<sub>2</sub> selectivity of WT FLaCat dimer (cyan) and FLaCat<sup>KKR<3A</sup> mutant dimer (orange). Data are representative of three experiments. Notice that although the mutation decreased the overall membrane affinity of the aCat dimer, it did not affect the PtdInsP selectivity of the protein, as indicated by essentially the same (RU for PIP<sub>3</sub>)/(RU for PIP<sub>2</sub>) ratio for WT and the mutant. Each SPR measurement was performed in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, containing 0.1 M NaCl and 1 mM TCEP using L1 chip coated with POPC/POPS/PtdInsP (77:20:3) vesicles as the active surface. 200 nM αCat was used for both WT and mutant proteins. POPC vesicles were used to coat the control surface for most experiments. For A, POPC/POPS (80:20) vesicles were used for the control surface to eliminate the potential contribution of POPS to PtdInsP selectivity.



Figure 4. Filopodia promoted by force-dimerization are reduced in  $\Delta N\alpha Cat^{KKR-3A}$  mutant. (A)  $\alpha$ Cat localization is sensitive to wortmannin and EGF. Schematic of PIP<sub>3</sub> synthesis and impact of drugs to right. n = 3, >14 FOVs. Data indicate mean  $\pm$  SD. Significance by ANOVA. Arrows show  $\alpha$ Cat enrichment at protrusions. Bars, 20 µm. See also Video 3. (B) BN-PAGE analysis of dimer formation (D) relative to monomer (M); B/B treatment 3 h. (C)  $\Delta N\alpha Cat^{KKR-3A}$  dimerization by B/B reduces filopodia formation compared with  $\Delta N\alpha Cat$ . As in Fig. 2, filopodia were counted every 1 s during a video of force dimerization. Bars, 10 µm; n = 6 FOVs from two BR; data are mean  $\pm$  SD). (D) Epifluorescence microscopy of radial protrusions (RPs; white arrows) reduced in  $\Delta N\alpha Cat^{KKR-3A}$  mutants. Bars, 20 µm. (E) Blinded quantification of RP (n > 150 cells; FOV counts ratioed to total number of cells to account for variations in cell density; Materials and methods). (F) Length of filopodia decreased in  $\Delta N\alpha Cat^{KKR-3A}$ , as imaged in structured illumination microscopy (SIM) of RP filopodia. Bars, 5 µm. (G) Quantification of filopodia length (n > 13 FOVs from three BRs), table of results below. Significance in E and G by ANOVA; data are mean  $\pm$  SD. \*\*, P < 0.001; \*\*\*\*, P < 0.0001. (H) Time-lapse analysis of B/B-treated  $\Delta N\alpha Cat^{KKR-3A}$  cells coinfected with GFP-LifeAct. Prolonged cell–cell contact upon homodimerization was not observed in mutant construct. Bars, 10 µm. See corresponding Videos 1 and 2.

recruitment of the homodimer to  $PIP_3$ -activated membranes may be critical for its activity, such that even modest reduction of membrane recruitment via KKR<3A mutations can attenuate  $\alpha$ Cat homodimer function.

# Exogenous PtdInsP are sufficient to recruit endogenous $\alpha$ Cat

Because liposome-based in vitro assays often fail to recapitulate presentation of PtdInsP as in vivo (Naravan and Lemmon. 2006), we sought to test whether PIP<sub>3</sub> could recruit endogenous aCat to bona fide cellular membranes by introducing ectopic BODIPY-tagged PIP<sub>3</sub> into the apical membrane using histone (H1) carriers (PIP<sub>3</sub>/H1; Fig. 5 A; Gassama-Diagne et al., 2006). We validated the preferential recruitment of  $\alpha$ Cat to PIP<sub>3</sub> by comparing colocalization (Pearson's coefficient) of BODIPYtagged PIP<sub>3</sub>, phosphatidylinositol-3,5-bisphosphate or PIP<sub>2</sub> in each slice of the Z-stack. In polarized MDCK cells grown on filters for 2 wk, we observed statistically significant colocalization of  $\alpha$ Cat with PIP<sub>3</sub> relative to other PtdInsP or the histone carrier alone (Fig. 5, B and C). These data validate the preferential in vitro binding of  $\alpha$ Cat to PIP<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 3) and demonstrate that PIP<sub>3</sub> and, to a lesser extent, PIP<sub>2</sub> are sufficient to recruit  $\alpha$ Cat to membranes.

To evaluate the contribution of the basic patch in  $\alpha Cat^{KKR<3A}$  to PIP3 recruitment in cells, we repeated the previous experiment in the aCat-null R2/7 variant of DLD1 cells expressing an mCherry-tagged WT FLaCat or FLaCatKKR<3A mutant. We observed gradual apical recruitment of aCat in R2/7 cells during a 1-h PIP<sub>3</sub>/H1 integration (Fig. 6 A) and apical enrichments colocalized with PIP<sub>3</sub> after washout of excess PIP<sub>3</sub>/H1 (arrow). Enrichments were not due to an increase in the overall expression of  $\alpha$ Cat (Fig. 6 B). These data are consistent with previous PtdInsP-interactome studies showing enrichment of aCat with PIP<sub>3</sub> (Catimel et al., 2009; Jungmichel et al., 2014). Because the expression of  $\alpha$ Cat in these cell lines is not as definitively junctional (relative to MDCK cells in Fig. 5), we analyzed colocalization with PIP<sub>3</sub> by rendering 3D surfaces of PIP<sub>3</sub> integration and αCat from the Z-stacks to compare 3D colocalization in Imaris. When we compared colocalization of FLaCat with PIP<sub>3</sub> compared with FLaCatKKR<3A, we found a statistically significant decrease in colocalization upon charge loss at these residues (Fig. 6, C and D), suggesting that the basic patch promotes recruitment to PIP<sub>3</sub> membranes. Importantly, this difference is not due to differences in PIP<sub>3</sub>/H1 integration (total volume) or to the size of individually integrated PIP<sub>3</sub>/H1 volumes (Fig. 6 C) or to the histone carrier alone. Importantly, E-cadherin was not recruited to PIP<sub>3</sub>/H1 (Fig. 6 E), suggesting that recruitment by PIP<sub>3</sub> may be a unique feature of extrajunctional  $\alpha$ Cat. Altogether, these data show that a basic patch in the M2 region of  $\alpha$ Cat contributes to its recruitment to PIP<sub>3</sub>-loaded membranes.

# $\alpha \textbf{Cat}$ basic patch contributes to adhesion and migration

Although the aforementioned studies clearly establish that forced dimerization of  $\alpha$ Cat promotes its membrane recruitment and alters F-actin organization, the  $\Delta$ N $\alpha$ Cat construct is not a native  $\alpha$ Cat protein. We sought, therefore, to assess the importance of this basic patch in the context of a FL  $\alpha$ Cat that could participate in both cadherin–catenin complex and homodimeric functions by comparing the adhesive activities of FL $\alpha$ Cat and FL $\alpha$ Cat<sup>KKR<3A</sup>-expressing R2/7 cells (immunoblot in Fig. S1 A). As with the recruitment of extrajunctional  $\alpha$ Cat to the leading edge of wounded cells (Fig. 1), FL $\alpha$ Cat was enriched at the wound front compared with FLaCatKKR<3A (Fig. 7, A and B). In addition, epithelial sheets expressing FLaCatKKR<3A closed twice as much wound area as those expressing FLaCat (Fig. 7, C and D; and Video 4), suggesting that αCat recruitment to PIP<sub>3</sub>-activated membranes contributes to the coordination of this process. Indeed, in FL $\alpha$ Cat cells, actin organization along the wound was more uniform, with actin cables parallel to the wound coordinated across cells (Fig. 7 E, top), reminiscent of the F-actin cables formed during epidermal closure in invertebrates (Jacinto et al., 2002). In contrast,  $FL\alpha Cat^{KKR<3A}$  cells were often separated from their immediate neighbors along the wound front and did not form these actin cables (Fig. 7 E, bottom). To visualize F-actin during live-cell migration, we cotransfected the cells in Fig. 7 A with GFP-LifeAct. We found that monolayers expressing FLaCat displayed prominent protrusions with long filopodia (Fig. 7 F and Video 4) and colocalized with F-actin as before (Fig. 7 F), particularly in cells directly following the leading cells, compared with  $FL\alpha Cat^{KKR<3A}$  cells. Moreover, when the migrating cells were imaged by phase contrast, the FLαCat<sup>KKR<3A</sup> cells were more rounded with refractile cell-cell contacts (Fig. 7 F), suggesting a reduction in cell-cell adhesion. To test this directly, we used a standard epithelial sheet fragmentation assay (Escobar et al., 2015). We found that mechanical disruption of monolayers expressing the FLaCat<sup>KKR<3A</sup> mutant formed significantly more fragments than FLaCat cells (Fig. 7, G and H). Because this charge mutant form of a Cat shows similar incorporation into the cadherin/ $\beta$ -catenin complex (Fig. S1 F) and binding to F-actin by cosedimentation as WT  $\alpha$ Cat (Fig. S1 C), we reason that the  $\alpha Cat^{KKR>3A}$  mutant alters cell-cell adhesive activities by targeting the membrane recruitment of homodimeric  $\alpha$ Cat. Although it is difficult to rule out the possible contribution of  $\alpha$ Cat recruitment by PIP<sub>3</sub> within the cadherin–catenin complex, our evidence that E-cadherin is not readily recruited to apically added PIP<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 6 E), supports the idea that  $\alpha$ Cat recruitment by PIP<sub>3</sub> outside of the cadherin-catenin complex strengthens intercellular adhesion.

## Discussion

We show that an extrajunctional form of  $\alpha$ Cat can be localized to PIP<sub>3</sub>-enriched membranes, where this recruitment and  $\alpha$ Cat homodimerization appear important for cell contact formation, strength, and coordinated migration. Because recruitment by PIP<sub>3</sub> appears to be a unique feature of  $\alpha$ Cat homodimers, and currently, there are no known mutants that specifically disable the homodimerization function of  $\alpha$ Cat from the  $\beta$ -catenin/ E-cadherin binding function, we speculate that targeting the membrane-binding function of  $\alpha$ Cat may specifically attenuate the role of extrajunctional  $\alpha$ Cat homodimer function in cells.

 $\alpha$ Cat homodimers are implicated in a number of in vitro F-actin–binding activities that may contribute to the phenotypic behaviors measured in this study. For example,  $\alpha$ Cat dimers not only bind F-actin but can protect it from severing by cofilin (Hansen et al., 2013), can promote its bundling (Koslov et al., 1997), and can induce a conformational change in the actin filament that limits barbed-end growth and branching by the Arp2/3 complex (Drees et al., 2005; Hansen et al., 2013). Consistent with the ability of  $\alpha$ Cat to limit Arp2/3 in vitro, a previous study



Figure 5. **Exogenous phosphoinositides are sufficient to recruit endogenous**  $\alpha$ **Cat.** (A) Schematic of BODIPY-labeled phosphoinositide/histone H1 complex formation and integration into the apical membrane of polarized MDCK cells grown on filters for 2 wk. (B) Slice views of PI35P<sub>2</sub>, PIP<sub>2</sub>, or PIP<sub>3</sub> integrated via histone H1 complex into MDCK cells. Images were captured via confocal microscope using identical exposure time and laser intensity. Arrows indicate colocalization of  $\alpha$ Cat and phosphoinositide. Bar, 10 µm. (C) Colocalization was analyzed for each slice (0.2-µm steps) via Pearson's correlation coefficient (chosen over Mander's because it is independent of signal levels and background) in Nikon Elements (*n* = 3). (Left) Plotted value for each slice from apical (A) to basal (B) side. (Right) Plotted values represent all values calculated within a stack. Data are mean ± SD. Significance by one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons: \*\*\*\*, P < 0.0001.

suggested that the extrajunctional pool of  $\alpha$ Cat could limit lamellipodial dynamics and cell migration, using a clever construct approach that effectively diverted the extrajunctional pool

of  $\alpha$ Cat toward or away from the plasma membrane (Benjamin et al., 2010). Although forced  $\alpha$ Cat dimerization promoted the formation of radial protrusions with prominent elongated filo-



Figure 6.  $\alpha$ Cat<sup>KKR-3A</sup> mutant shows reduced recruitment to exogenous PIP<sub>3</sub>. (A) PIP<sub>3</sub> apical membrane integration and recruitment assay; mCherry  $\alpha$ Cat (red); BODIPY–PIP<sub>3</sub> (green) with quantification of total colocalized surface. Arrows show colocalized surfaces as yellow (top) and purple (bottom) when reconstructed as a volume rendering. (B) BODIPY–PIP<sub>3</sub> did not alter expression of  $\alpha$ Cat (quantification not shown; n = 3). (C) Quantification of colocalization volumes between  $\alpha$ Cat constructs and PIP<sub>3</sub> (n = 45 from three BRs). Significance by unpaired t test: \*\*\*, P < 0.0005; \*\*\*\*, P < 0.0001. Error bars reflect the SD of the mean. (D) Surface renderings of colocalization volumes (purple) relative to BODIPY–PIP<sub>3</sub> integration (green). Slice shows en face view of Z-stack; arrows show colocalization (0.2-µm steps). (E) E-cadherin was not recruited to ectopic BODIPY–PIP<sub>3</sub> integrations, suggesting that this is a unique feature of extrajunctional  $\alpha$ Cat. Samples were fixed after live-cell imaging, so integration of BODIPY–PIP<sub>3</sub> was prolonged relative to Z-stacks in A and D. Bars, 20 µm.



Figure 7.  $\alpha$ Cat<sup>KKR-3A</sup> mutant alters cell-cell adhesion and sheet migration. (A) Live imaging of  $\alpha$ Cat-expressing R2/7 cells imaged for 12 h postwounding. Arrows indicate  $\alpha$ Cat recruitment in FL $\alpha$ Cat but not FL $\alpha$ Cat<sup>KKR-3A</sup>. (B) Quantification of enrichments (n > 150 FOVs inclusive of all time points, two BRs). Data are mean  $\pm$  SD. (C) Representative image of wound closure area. Pink overlay depicts area quantified. (D) Quantification of wound closure (n = 24 FOVs, two BRs, data are mean  $\pm$  SD). See corresponding Video 4. (E) Phalloidin stain of F-actin at wound front. Red arrows indicate actin cables adjoining cells via junctions. High-resolution analysis of F-actin across adjacent cells by SIM (right). (F) Live imaging of GFP-LifeAct and mCherry FL $\alpha$ Cat during wound migration. (Left) Fluorescent image; white arrows indicate protrusions with long filopodia; yellow arrowheads show areas of colocalization. (Right) Bright-field image. Bars, 50 µm. (G) Representative images from a mechanical disruption assay with quantification in H (Materials and methods; n = 5 BRs). Data are mean  $\pm$  SD; significance in all panels by unpaired t test: \*\*\*\*, P < 0.0001.

podia, and cells expressing FL  $\alpha$ Cat closed the wound slower than  $\alpha$ Cat<sup>KKR>3A</sup>-expressing cells, activities that suggest Arp2/3 suppression, we did not find that  $\alpha$ Cat recruitment to membranes correlated with a reduction in Arp2/3 accumulation at the periphery (Fig. S1 G). Therefore, future studies will be required to understand how these distinct biochemical activities are temporally and spatially coordinated at the membrane surface by PtdInsP, PIP<sub>3</sub> in particular, and account for how  $\alpha$ Cat homodimers contribute to intercellular adhesive behaviors.

Further studies will also be required to understand how  $\alpha$ Cat homodimers, but not monomers, show selective binding to PIP<sub>3</sub> and, to a lesser extent, PIP<sub>2</sub>. Although we show that disruption of an algorithm-identified charge cluster in  $\alpha$ Cat (KKR; MIT Scansite) impaired a Cat homodimer binding to PIP<sub>3</sub>-liposomes by SPR analysis, as well as its recruitment to BODIPY-labeled PIP<sub>3</sub> exogenously added to cells, the aCatKKR basic region cannot explain the PtdInsP binding selectivity of  $\alpha$ Cat homodimers. Thus, these data suggest that the  $\alpha$ Cat<sup>KKR</sup>-basic region likely contributes to electrostatic membrane interactions (e.g., via phosphatidylserine), while other residues in a Cat contribute to PIP<sub>3</sub> head-group specificity. Efforts to identify candidate PtdInsP binding pockets by molecular modeling are limited by the low resolution (3.66 Å) of the nearly FL  $\alpha$ Cat dimer crystal structure (Protein Data Bank 4IGG; Rangarajan and Izard, 2013). Moreover, such efforts may be irrelevant if  $\alpha$ Cat homodimers bind to PIP<sub>3</sub> through a pocket formed at an alternative dimer interface not observed in the crystal structure, with two monomers contributing residues to form one PIP<sub>3</sub> binding site, analogous to how its homologue, vinculin, binds PIP<sub>2</sub> (Chinthalapudi et al., 2014). Indeed, the remarkable affinity difference measured for αCat homodimer and monomer binding to PIP<sub>3</sub> cannot be explained by avidity alone, suggesting the possibility that a conformational change in the  $\alpha$ Cat homodimer may expose a PtdInsP-binding surface that is inaccessible in the monomer. Last, it is worth noting that although the  $\alpha Cat^{KKR<3A}$  mutant does not apparently perturb its incorporation into the cadherin complex or binding to F-actin, we cannot presently rule out a role for these residues in tension-dependent M-domain interactions that may also contribute to the cell-cell adhesive phenotypes described.

Evidence that the  $K_d$  of  $\alpha$ Cat homodimers is much higher than the concentration of cytosolic  $\alpha$ Cat in cells (Drees et al., 2005) raises the intriguing possibility that the localization of  $\alpha$ Cat to PIP<sub>3</sub>-enriched membranes might serve to facilitate  $\alpha$ Cat homodimerization by enhancing its local effective concentration. Although inhibiting PIP<sub>3</sub> formation with wortmannin failed to alter the monomer/dimer ratio of cytosolic  $\alpha$ Cat by native gel analysis, it is likely that basic patches in  $\alpha$ Cat mediate electrostatic interactions with the plasma membrane, locally increasing the concentration of  $\alpha$ Cat to drive homodimerization. Once at the membrane, homodimer diffusion may be restricted by PIP<sub>3</sub>, as a way to localize F-actin binding activities of  $\alpha$ Cat.

In summary, this study demonstrates that  $\alpha$ Cat homodimers bind to PIP<sub>3</sub>, respond to PI3K-activated signals, and control actin organization and behaviors that promote cell–cell adhesion, which has implications for the specific targeting of extrajunctional  $\alpha$ Cat homodimer function in cells.

## Materials and methods

#### Plasmid generation and constructs

All  $\alpha$ Cat sequences used are based on the epithelial form of  $\alpha$ Cat. The GFP-tagged IRES-Puro-rat a Cat lentiviral vector (pLVX) was provided by J. de Rooij (Center for Molecular Medicine at the University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands). The mutated basic region of the M2 domain (KKR<3A) was creating using QuikChange II sitespecific mutagenesis (Stratagene) and QuikChange Primer Design Program in the context of the human αCat protein (pGEX; Escobar et al., 2015). FL and KKR<3A human αCat proteins were cloned into the pLVX vector downstream and in-frame with an N-terminal monomeric mCherry-tag, to avoid dimerization effects mediated by the GFP tag (Shaner et al., 2005). For iDimerize constructs, the first 267 aa of the human  $\alpha$ Cat sequence (encoding overlapping  $\beta$ -catenin hetero- and  $\alpha$ Cat homodimerization domains) were removed with the Clontech In-Fusion HD Cloning kit. This truncated form, ΔNαCat, was cloned into Lenti-X iDimerize Inducible Homodimer System (Clontech) that had been N-terminally tagged with mCherry. The puromycin gene was removed from the PLVX backbone to accommodate the size of the aCat-expression constructs. Primers used for truncated  $\Delta N \alpha Cat$  and  $\Delta N \alpha Cat^{KKR < 3A}$  constructs are available upon request. A lentiviral LifeAct-GFP was purchased from Addgene (pLenti.PGK.LifeAct-GFP; Plasmid 51010).

#### Cell culture and stable cell line selection

MDCK, A431D, and DLD1 cells (American Type Culture Collection) and DLD1 R2/7  $\alpha$ Cat-deficient colon carcinoma cells (a gift from F. van Roy, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium) were maintained in DMEM (Corning), containing 10% FBS (Atlanta Biologicals or JRS Scientific), 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Corning). iDimerize cell lines were sorted for positive selection of mCherry fluorescence by flow cytometry using FACSAria 5 (BD Biosciences). mCherry FL $\alpha$ Cat or FL $\alpha$ Cat<sup>KKR<3A</sup> cell lines were selected in 5 µg/ml puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich) and were subsequently sorted for mCherry expression using flow cytometry. In drug treatment assays, cells were treated with one of the following: DMSO control (Sigma-Aldrich) or 1–20 µM wortmannin (W1628; Sigma-Aldrich) for 3 h. In forced-dimerization assays, cells were treated with 25, 250, or 500 nM B/B homodimer (635059; Clontech) for 20 min to 15 h, which was sometimes followed by treatment with 1 µM washout ligand (635088; Clontech).

#### Antibodies

The following primary antibodies were used: polyclonal rabbit anti- $\alpha$ Cat (C3236; Cell Signaling), hybridoma mouse anti- $\alpha$ Cat (5B11; Daugherty et al., 2014), monoclonal mouse anti-\beta-catenin (610154; BD Biosciences), polyclonal rabbit anti-β-catenin (06-734; EMD Millipore), monoclonal mouse anti-E-cadherin (610182; BD Biosciences), monoclonal mouse anti-E-cadherin (HECD1, 13-1700; Takara), polyclonal rabbit anti-GAPDH (FL-335, sc-25778; Santa Cruz), anti-p34-Arc/ARPC2 (07-227; EMD Millipore), monoclonal mouse anti-GAPDH (9484; Abcam), hybridoma mouse anti-tubulin (DM1A, T9026; Sigma-Aldrich), polyclonal rabbit anti-mCherry (5993; Bio-Vision), and Alexa Fluor 488 or 568 phalloidin (A12379; Invitrogen). Secondary antibodies for Western blotting included HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse and anti-rabbit antibodies (Bio-Rad) or fluorescently labeled donkey anti-mouse and anti-rabbit antibodies (680RD or 800RD; LiCor Biosciences). Secondary antibodies for immunofluorescence included IgG Alexa Fluor 488- or 568-conjugated goat antimouse or anti-rabbit antibodies (Invitrogen).

#### Immunofluorescence and Imaging

Cells were grown on coverslips, fixed in 4% PFA (Electron Microscopy Services) for 15 s, quenched with glycine, permeabilized with 0.3% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich), and blocked with normal goat serum (Sigma-Aldrich). Primary and secondary antibody incubations were performed at RT for 1 h, interspaced by multiple washes in PBS, and followed by mounting coverslips in ProLong Gold fixative (Life Technologies). Images of asymmetrical a Cat recruitment to the wound front (Fig. 1, A-C; and Fig. 4 A) were captured at RT with a Axioplan2 microscope (Zeiss) equipped with a 100× Plan-Neofluar with oil, NA 0.75 objective, and AxioCam HR Camera using AxioVision 4.8 software. Wide-field fluorescence live-cell microscopy was used to generate videos of the iDimerize drug time course and single-cell filopodia projections (Fig. 1 F; Fig. 2, A and G; Fig. 4, C and H; and Videos 1, 2, and 3) with a Nikon Ti Eclipse inverted microscope equipped with a Yokogawa CSU-X1 spinning disk head, Perfect Focus system (Nikon), a 100× or 60× oil 1.49 NA APO total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) objective, and an Andor xION electron-multiplying charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (Andor Technology) controlled by Meta-Morph v.7.7.7.0 software (Molecular Devices). Cells were maintained at 37°C plus 5% CO<sub>2</sub> during imaging using a Tokai-Hit stage-top incubator (Tokai-Hit) or an Okolab gas mixer (Okolab). Time-lapse sequences were acquired at 15-s intervals using the 561-nm and/or 488nm laser. For all experiments, cells were plated on glass-bottomed dish coverslips 24 h before imaging. Imaging of radiating protrusions and filopodia (Figs. 2 E and 4 F) was performed using a structured illumination superresolution microscope (N-SIM; Nikon). Samples were illuminated with spatially high-frequency patterned excitation light (100× objective lens, NA 1.49; TiE N-SIM microscope [Nikon] and iXon X3 897 camera [Andor Technology]). The moiré patterns were produced and analytically processed (Nikon Elements v.4.20.01, 3D SIM reconstruction tool) to reconstruct the subresolution structure of the samples. Platinum replica electron microscopy (Fig. 2 B) was performed as previously described (Korobova and Svitkina, 2008; Svitkina, 2009). Cells were grown subconfluently on coverslips and preextracted with 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.25% glutaraldehyde in PEM buffer (100 mM Pipes, pH 6.9, 1 mM EGTA, and 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>). Cells were then fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M Na-cacodylate, pH 7.3, for 20 min at RT. One set of cells was then stained with 488 phalloidin for visualization by light microscopy (control) while a second was used for the platinum replica, processed with tannic acid and uranyl acetate, critical point dried, coated with platinum and carbon, and transferred onto electron microscopic grids for observation. Samples were imaged using a FEI Tecnai Spirit G2 transmission electron microscope (FEI Company) operated at 80 kV. Images were captured using an Eagle 4k HR 200kV CCD camera and presented as inverted contrast, adjusted for brightness and contrast but otherwise unprocessed.

#### Image analysis and quantification

To quantify the number of enrichments at the leading edge (Fig. 4 A), cells were fixed and imaged with an Axioplan2 microscope (in the previous section). To qualify for quantification, enrichment needed to be brighter than the cell body, and distinct from adjacent enrichments. Enrichments were only counted within the first two cell rows of the leading edge, not in leading cells farther back in the epithelial sheet. The file names were randomly generated (RandomNames Script from HowToGeek.com) to eliminate sample bias before quantification of four or five fields of view (FOVs) for three biological replicates (BRs; n = 14-17) for each condition (>124 cells). Significance was determined by multiple-comparisons ANOVA. Images presented in the paper were adjusted for brightness and contrast but were otherwise unprocessed.

To quantify the number of filopodia observed in the drug timecourse videos of  $\Delta N\alpha Cat$  and  $\Delta N\alpha Cat^{KKR<3A}$  cells tagged with GFP-LifeAct (Fig. 3 D), still images (every 1 min, six BRs) from the image sequence were imported into ImageJ. Filopodia were counted if there was a distinct actin projection extending from the cell body. Error bars here and throughout this study represent SDs. Representative images are shown with white arrows pointing to filopodia projections; images were adjusted for brightness and contrast but were otherwise unprocessed.

To quantify the number of radial protrusions observed (Figs. 2 C and 4 D), cells were fixed and stained with Hoechst and imaged with an Axioplan2 microscope (in the previous section). Three to eight FOVs for each of the three BRs were imaged, exported as channel-separated JPEG images, and quantified using the multipoint tool to count protrusions in FIJI/ImageJ software. The file names were randomly generated (RandomNames script from http://www .howtogeek.com) to eliminate sample bias before quantification by a third party in a double-blind experiment. Total cell numbers were first counted using the Cell Counter plugin after thresholding the Hoechststained nuclei. Brightness and contrast were adjusted to visualize all cell peripheries in the red channel. A structure was counted as a radial protrusion if a lamellipodial fan, distinct from the main cell body, was observed. Adjacent structures were counted as one unless they were clearly supported by distinct bases, and structures were not counted if saturation inhibited a clear visualization of structure. This main lamellipodial fan was often concurrent with enrichment of  $\alpha$ Cat localization and radiating filopodia from the central structure, though the latter two features were not a requirement for a structure to be counted as a radial protrusion. To account for variability in radial protrusion readouts, >150 cells were counted, and each FOV was ratioed against the total number of cells to account for variations in cell density. Ratios were averaged for each condition and compared via one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple-comparisons test. To measure filopodia length from structured illumination microscopic images. reconstructed ND2 files were imported to ImageJ, and filopodia length (actin channel) was measured with a freehand line. Statistics were calculated using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple-comparisons test (GraphPad Prism). Images presented in the paper were adjusted for brightness and contrast but were otherwise unprocessed.

#### PIP<sub>3</sub>-histone apical recruitment assay

13  $\mu$ l 100  $\mu$ M PIP<sub>3</sub>, phosphatidylinositol-3,5-bisphosphate, or PIP<sub>2</sub> tagged with BODIPY-FL was incubated with 13  $\mu$ l 100  $\mu$ M Histone H1 Carrier (Echelon) for 10 min after vigorous pipetting to facilitate complex formation. Complexes were dripped into confluent cells covered in 100  $\mu$ l FluoroBrite DMEM (Life Technologies), and PtdInsP–histoneH1 complexes were allowed to integrate for 1 h. After 1 h of integration, the medium was removed and replaced with fresh Fluoro-Brite medium. For both experiments, addition of histone H1 alone served as a negative control.

For MDCK cells grown on filters (Fig. 5) and fixed after PtdInsP integration, slides were imaged at RT with 60× oil Apo TIRF NA 1.49 objective on the Nikon A1R laser scanning confocal inverted microscope equipped with two standard photomultiplier tubes (408 and 640) and two high-sensitivity gallium arsenide phosphide detectors (488 and 561). The system is equipped with the Perfect Focus focal drift compensation mechanism and automated XY stage. Live-cell imaging of R2/7 cells Z-stack images (0.2-µm steps; Fig. 6) were captured (before and after PtdInsP/H1 integration) using a Nikon Ti Eclipse inverted microscope equipped with a Yokogawa CSU-X1 spinning disk head, Perfect Focus system, a 100× 1.49 NA APO TIRF objective, and an Andor xION electron-multiplying CCD camera. Cells were maintained at 37°C plus 5%  $CO_2$  during imaging using a Tokai-Hit stage-top incubator or an Okolab gas mixer.

For MDCK cells (Fig. 5), colocalization was quantified in Nikon Elements v.4.60.00, build 1170. Pearson's correlation coefficients for each slice of the Z-stack were calculated using the colocalization tool. To show how colocalization changed across the stack, these data were plotted in Prism as a function of Z-stack height. Coefficients for each stack were combined (n = 3) to compare colocalization of each PtdInsP/H1. Statistical analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons. Images presented in the paper were adjusted for brightness and contrast but were otherwise unprocessed.

For R2/7 cells (Fig. 6), 3D colocalization of PIP<sub>3</sub> and  $\alpha$ Cat was quantified in Imaris (Bitplane). This method was chosen because the localization of aCat in R2/7 cells has increased cytoplasmic localization relative to the junctional localization in MDCK cells and thereby cannot quantitatively measure colocalization via Pearson's coefficient, as in Fig. 5. Z-stacks were imported to Imaris, and new surfaces rendered for both the PIP<sub>3</sub> and  $\alpha$ Cat channels (0.3 smoothing for surfaces detail, 2.0 background subtraction using local contrast, thresholding of 200 or 300 for green and red channels, respectively, and filtered out voxels <100). A third surface was rendered with the Imaris MATLAB XTension Surface-Surface Colocalization (Gastinger, 2015), with no smoothing. The mean volume, the total number of individual volumes, and the sum of all volumes were recorded for each channel. Data from 15 FOVs for three BRs (n = 45) were compiled in Prism, and statistics were calculated using unpaired t tests. Images presented in the paper were adjusted for brightness and contrast but were otherwise unprocessed.

#### Scratch wound assay

250,000 cells were plated for 24 h on LabTek #1 four-well chamber slide (43300-776; Thermo Fisher Scientific), wounded with a P200 micropipette tip, and allowed to recover for 2 h. Prior to imaging, DMEM was replaced with FluoroBrite DMEM (Life Technologies) and 10 µg/ ml mitomycin C (Sigma-Aldrich) to limit cell proliferation. Cells were imaged with the 20x or 40x objective every 10 min (both phase contrast and fluorescent channels) on the Nikon Biostation IM-Q with the slide holder module (located in Nikon Imaging Facility) at 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, for 15 h. 10–12 FOVs were captured along the wound edge. The instrument was controlled using Biostation IM software v.2.21, build 144. To quantify change in wound area, the resulting .ids file was imported to ImageJ, and the wound edge of the phase-contrast image was traced with the polygon tool at time = 0 and time = 15 h. The area of the resulting polygon was measured in square pixels, and the resulting data (12 FOVs, two BRs) were compared using an unpaired t test. Images presented in the paper were adjusted for brightness and contrast but were otherwise unprocessed.

#### Epithelial sheet disruption assay

350,000 cells were plated in a 12-well culture plates (Corning) and allowed to reach confluency. 36 h postplating, the monolayer was washed twice in Dulbecco's PBS supplemented with 0.5 mM Mg<sup>2+</sup> and 1 mM Ca<sup>2+</sup> (HyClone) and then incubated for 30 min at 37°C in 1 mg/ml Dispase (Roche) diluted in PBS (Corning) and supplemented with the indicated amount of Ca<sup>2+</sup>. After monolayers lifted from the dish, the plate was subjected to a shaking force of 1,400 rpm for 15 s. An image of each well was captured with an iPhone 6s camera (12 megapixels) held 6 inches above the plate before and after shaking, and the resulting file names were blinded (RandomNames script from http://www.howtogeek.com) before counting each fragment with the multipoint marker in ImageJ. Seventy-five epithelial fragments were established as the upper limit for counting. The assay was repeated with five BRs, and combined counts for each  $Ca^{2+}$  condition were compared using unpaired *t* test.

#### **Protein analysis**

Expression and purification of GST-tagged constructs: FL human aCat (FLaCat and FLaCatKKR<3A mutant) were expressed as N-terminal GST-fusion proteins in the pGEX-4T plasmid and purified as previously described (Ishiyama et al., 2013). The N-terminal GST tag was cleaved to release FL aCat from beads using 10 U bovine thrombin (BioPharm Laboratories) incubated overnight at 4°C. Cleaved proteins were further purified into dimer and monomer fractions by size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 200 prep grade column (GE Healthcare). The purified proteins were exchanged into protein storage buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, and 1 mM TCEP). Multi-angle light scattering measurements were acquired by using a miniDawn in-line detector and an Optilab rEX differential refractometer (Wyatt Technologies). Molecular weight was calculated by using ASTRA software (Wyatt Technologies). Circular dichroism spectroscopic data for 2.4 µM aCat samples were collected on a J-815 CD spectrometer (Jasco) at 20°C using a 0.1-cm path length cuvette with a scanning speed of 20 nm/min (1-nm increments). Thermal melt data were acquired at 220 nm with a scan rate of 1°C/min.

#### Lipid vesicle preparation and SPR analysis

Large unilamellar vesicles with different lipid compositions (e.g., POPC/ POPS/PtdInsP = 77:20:3 in mole%) were prepared using a microextruder (Avanti Polar Lipids) with a 100-nm polycarbonate filter (Fig. 3). All SPR measurements were performed at 23°C using a lipid-coated L1 chip in the BIACORE X100 system as previously described (Stahelin and Cho, 2001). 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, containing 0.1 M NaCl and 1 mM TCEP was used as the running buffer, while PtdInsP-containing vesicles and POPC (or POPC/POPS [8:2]) vesicles were coated on the active surface and the control surface, respectively. Vesicles were injected at 5 µl/min onto the corresponding sensor chip surfaces to yield the identical RU, ensuring the equal concentration of the coated lipids. Equilibrium binding measurements of  $\alpha$ Cat were performed at a flow rate of 10 µl/min, which allowed enough time for the R values of the association phase to reach near equilibrium levels ( $R_{eq}$ ; Ananthanarayanan et al., 2003). Each sensorgram was background-corrected by subtracting the control surface response from the active surface response. Protein solutions with different concentrations were injected to collect a set of  $R_{eq}$  values that were plotted against the protein concentrations ( $P_{q}$ ). An apparent dissociation constant  $(K_d)$  was then determined by nonlinear least squares analysis of the binding isotherm using the equation  $R_{\rm eq} = R_{\rm max}/(1 + K_{\rm d}/P_{\rm o})$ , where  $R_{\rm max}$  is the maximal  $R_{\rm eq}$  value (Cho, 2001). Because the concentration of lipids coated on the sensor chip cannot be accurately determined,  $K_d$  is defined as  $P_0$  yielding half-maximal binding with a fixed lipid concentration. Each measurement was repeated at least three times to determine mean and SD values. For kinetic measurements, the flow rate was maintained at 10 µl/min.

#### Actin pelleting assay

Monomeric rabbit skeletal muscle actin was polymerized in the polymerization buffer (5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 50 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM ATP, 0.2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, and 0.5 mM DTT) for 1 h at RT. 5  $\mu$ M actin and 5  $\mu$ M  $\alpha$ Cat were mixed in the binding buffer (5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 50 mM KCl, 40 mM NaCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM ATP, 0.2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 mM DTT, and 0.5 mM TCEP) for 1 h, and supernatant and pellet fractions were separated by centrifugation at 100,000 *g* for 20 min. Fractionated protein samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE with Coomassie blue stain, and gel band intensity was measured by ImageJ

using the rectangular selection tool followed by the gel analyzer tool (n = 3; data are mean  $\pm$  SD).

#### Cell fractionation analyses

Hypotonic, detergent-free lysis for assessing the cytosolic fraction of  $\alpha$ Cat (Fig. S1 E): cells were washed twice with PBS<sup>2+</sup>, scraped, and pelleted at 1,400 rpm for 3 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in hypotonic lysis buffer (30 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, and 1 EGTA-free protease inhibitor/10 ml; Roche). Cells were swollen on ice for 30 min and lysed by 20 strokes through a tuberculin needle. Tonicity was restored with 0.25 M sucrose homogenized with 10 strokes of a tuberculin needle; a portion was held aside as "input." The remainder was ultracentrifuged at 100,000 g for 90 min to generate a supernatant (S100G) containing cytosolic proteins for analysis by SDS-PAGE. To achieve a more concentrated cytosolic fraction for BN-PAGE analysis, we used a standard freeze-thaw protocol (Tansey, 2006). In brief, cells were washed twice with PBS<sup>2+</sup>, scraped, and pelleted at 1,000 rpm for 3 min. The pellet was resuspended in Freeze/Thaw Lysis Buffer (600 mM KCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 20% glycerol, 100 mM PMSF, 0.35 mg/ml Pepstatin A, 1 mg/ml aprotinin, and 2.3 mg/ml leupeptin) using 200 µl per 10-cm dish). Cells were lysed in cycles of liquid nitrogen (five times) followed by a 15-min thaw on ice. Lysates were clarified at 14,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. BN-PAGE (3-12%; NativePAGE Bis-Tris Gel System; Invitrogen) was used to discern the homodimerization capacity of aCat. After incubating cells in a hypotonic lysis buffer (30 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, and 1 EGTA-free protease inhibitor/10 ml; Roche), lysing with a syringe, and restoring tonicity with 0.25 M sucrose, 2-40 µg of total cell lysate was loaded onto the gel (35-µl total volume, with 1× Native Sample buffer and 0.35% G-250 Coomassie additive). The inner compartment of the XCell SureLock Mini-Cell (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was filled with prechilled light blue cathode buffer (1× NativePAGE running buffer and 0.1× NativePAGE cathode buffer), and the outer compartment was filled with prechilled 1× NativePAGE running buffer. The gel was run at 4°C for 2.5 h at 150 V, transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (20% methanol and 1× NuPAGE Transfer Buffer) at 4°C for 34 V overnight. Proteins were fixed to membrane in 8% acetic acid, followed by a 5-minute wash in ddH2O. The membrane was blocked in TBST-5% milk for 1 h before proceeding with normal Western blot methods using the anti-aCat antibody (C3236; Cell Signaling) or the anti-mCherry antibody (Cell Signaling). For immunoprecipitation, cells were harvested in 1% Triton X-100 lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 5 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, and 1% Triton-X100 with protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and precipitated with the indicated antibodies.

#### **Online supplemental material**

The supplemental material for this manuscript provides important control experiments validating construct expression levels and typical binding partners (Fig. S1 A, B, and F), as well as experiments showing that the  $\alpha$ Cat<sup>KKR<3A</sup> mutant does not significantly alter F-actin binding (Fig. S1 C). We also present evidence that the  $\alpha$ Cat homodimer is not sensitive to inhibition of PI3K by wortmannin (Fig. S1 D) but that this treatment is associated with an increase in the cytosolic pool of  $\alpha$ Cat (Fig. S1, D and E). Given evidence that  $\alpha$ Cat homodimers can inhibit Arp2/3-dependent actin polymerization (Drees et al., 2005) and accumulation at the leading edge of cells (Benjamin et al., 2010), we also show evidence that Arp3 protein levels are not obviously altered by  $\alpha$ Cat forced dimerization (Fig. S1 G). Last, the videos included provide temporal context to the still images in the text (Video 1 with Figs. 1 F, 2 A, and 4 C; Video 2 with Figs. 2 G and 4 H; Video 3 with Fig. 4 A; and Video 4 with Fig. 7, A–E).

#### Acknowledgments

We thank our Northwestern University colleagues, Volodya Gelfand, Josh Rappoport, Farida Korobova, Dave Kirchenbuchler, Dina Arvanitis, Sergey Troyanovsky, and Richard A. Anderson (University of Wisconsin), for advice and discussion.

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Program (grant DGE-1324585) and a John N. Nicholson Fellowship to M.N. Wood, Canadian Institutes of Health Research grant 130267 to N. Ishiyama and M. Ikura, National Institutes of Health grant R35GM122530 to W. Cho, National Institutes of Health grants GM076561 and P01HL071643 to C.J. Gottardi and cores (Skin Disease Research Center [grant P30AR057216], Flow Cytometry [National Cancer Institute grants CA0605531 and S100D011996], and Center for Advanced Microscopy [National Cancer Institute Cancer Center Support Grants P30 CA060553, S10 RR031680, and S100D016342]).

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Author contributions: Conceptualization: M.N. Wood and C.J. Gottardi; validation: A.S. Flozak; formal analysis: M.N. Wood, I. Singaram, W. Cho, C. Chung, and N. Ishiyama; investigation: M.N. Wood, N. Ishiyama, A.S. Flozak, and C. Chung; resources: A. Yemelyanov, M. Ikura, and W. Cho; writing original draft: M.N. Wood, W. Cho, and C.J. Gottardi; editing and review, M.N. Wood, C.J. Gottardi, and A.S. Flozak; visualization: M.N. Wood; supervision: C.J. Gottardi; funding acquisition: M.N. Wood, M. Ikura, W. Cho, and C.J. Gottardi.

Submitted: 9 December 2016 Revised: 22 March 2017 Accepted: 28 July 2017

### References

- Ananthanarayanan, B., R.V. Stahelin, M.A. Digman, and W. Cho. 2003. Activation mechanisms of conventional protein kinase C isoforms are determined by the ligand affinity and conformational flexibility of their C1 domains. J. Biol. Chem. 278:46886–46894. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074 /jbc.M307853200
- Belshaw, P.J., S.N. Ho, G.R. Crabtree, and S.L. Schreiber. 1996. Controlling protein association and subcellular localization with a synthetic ligand that induces heterodimerization of proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 93:4604–4607. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.10.4604
- Benjamin, J.M., A.V. Kwiatkowski, C. Yang, F. Korobova, S. Pokutta, T. Svitkina, W.I. Weis, and W.J. Nelson. 2010. αE-catenin regulates actin dynamics independently of cadherin-mediated cell-cell adhesion. J. Cell Biol. 189:339–352. http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200910041
- Buckley, C.D., J. Tan, K.L. Anderson, D. Hanein, N. Volkmann, W.I. Weis, W.J. Nelson, and A.R. Dunn. 2014. Cell adhesion. The minimal cadherin-catenin complex binds to actin filaments under force. *Science*. 346:1254211. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1254211
- Cai, H., and P.N. Devreotes. 2011. Moving in the right direction: how eukaryotic cells migrate along chemical gradients. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 22:834– 841. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2011.07.020
- Catimel, B., M.X. Yin, C. Schieber, M. Condron, H. Patsiouras, J. Catimel, D.E. Robinson, L.S. Wong, E.C. Nice, A.B. Holmes, and A.W. Burgess. 2009. PI(3,4,5)P3 interactome. J. Proteome Res. 8:3712–3726. http://dx .doi.org/10.1021/pr900320a
- Chinthalapudi, K., E.S. Rangarajan, D.N. Patil, E.M. George, D.T. Brown, and T. Izard. 2014. Lipid binding promotes oligomerization and focal adhesion activity of vinculin. J. Cell Biol. 207:643–656. http://dx.doi.org /10.1083/jcb.201404128
- Cho, W. 2001. Membrane targeting by C1 and C2 domains. J. Biol. Chem. 276:32407–32410. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R100007200
- Daugherty, R.L., L. Serebryannyy, A. Yemelyanov, A.S. Flozak, H.J. Yu, S.T. Kosak, P. deLanerolle, and C.J. Gottardi. 2014. α-Catenin is an inhibitor of transcription. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 111:5260–5265. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1308663111

- Desai, R., R. Sarpal, N. Ishiyama, M. Pellikka, M. Ikura, and U. Tepass. 2013. Monomeric α-catenin links cadherin to the actin cytoskeleton. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 15:261–273. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncb2685
- Dickinson, D.J., W.I. Weis, and W.J. Nelson. 2011. Protein evolution in cell and tissue development: going beyond sequence and transcriptional analysis. *Dev. Cell*. 21:32–34. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2011.06.004
- Drees, F., S. Pokutta, S. Yamada, W.J. Nelson, and W.I. Weis. 2005. α-catenin is a molecular switch that binds E-cadherin-β-catenin and regulates actinfilament assembly. *Cell*. 123:903–915. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell .2005.09.021
- Engelman, J.A., P.A. Jänne, C. Mermel, J. Pearlberg, T. Mukohara, C. Fleet, K. Cichowski, B.E. Johnson, and L.C. Cantley. 2005. ErbB-3 mediates phosphoinositide 3-kinase activity in gefitinib-sensitive non-small cell lung cancer cell lines. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 102:3788–3793. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0409773102
- Escobar, D.J., R. Desai, N. Ishiyama, S.S. Folmsbee, M.N. Novak, A.S. Flozak, R.L. Daugherty, R. Mo, D. Nanavati, R. Sarpal, et al. 2015. α-Catenin phosphorylation promotes intercellular adhesion through a dual-kinase mechanism. J. Cell Sci. 128:1150–1165. http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jcs .163824
- Fierro-González, J.C., M.D. White, J.C. Silva, and N. Plachta. 2013. Cadherindependent filopodia control preimplantation embryo compaction. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 15:1424–1433. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncb2875
- Gassama-Diagne, A., W. Yu, M. ter Beest, F. Martin-Belmonte, A. Kierbel, J. Engel, and K. Mostov. 2006. Phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate regulates the formation of the basolateral plasma membrane in epithelial cells. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 8:963–970. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncb1461
- Gastinger, M.2015. Surface-surface colocalization. http://open.bitplane.com/ tabid/235/Default.aspx?id=111 (accessed August 31, 2017).
- Hansen, S.D., A.V. Kwiatkowski, C.Y. Ouyang, H. Liu, S. Pokutta, S.C. Watkins, N. Volkmann, D. Hanein, W.I. Weis, R.D. Mullins, and W.J. Nelson. 2013. αE-catenin actin-binding domain alters actin filament conformation and regulates binding of nucleation and disassembly factors. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* 24:3710–3720. http://dx.doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E13-07-0388
- Hoelzle, M.K., and T. Svitkina. 2012. The cytoskeletal mechanisms of cell-cell junction formation in endothelial cells. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* 23:310–323. http://dx.doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E11-08-0719
- Imamura, Y., M. Itoh, Y. Maeno, S. Tsukita, and A. Nagafuchi. 1999. Functional domains of α-catenin required for the strong state of cadherin-based cell adhesion. J. Cell Biol. 144:1311–1322. http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.144 .6.1311
- Ishiyama, N., N. Tanaka, K. Abe, Y.J. Yang, Y.M. Abbas, M. Umitsu, B. Nagar, S.A. Bueler, J.L. Rubinstein, M. Takeichi, and M. Ikura. 2013. An autoinhibited structure of α-catenin and its implications for vinculin recruitment to adherens junctions. J. Biol. Chem. 288:15913–15925. http ://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.453928
- Jacinto, A., W. Wood, S. Woolner, C. Hiley, L. Turner, C. Wilson, A. Martinez-Arias, and P. Martin. 2002. Dynamic analysis of actin cable function during *Drosophila* dorsal closure. *Curr. Biol.* 12:1245–1250. http://dx .doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(02)00955-7
- Jungmichel, S., K.B. Sylvestersen, C. Choudhary, S. Nguyen, M. Mann, and M.L. Nielsen. 2014. Specificity and commonality of the phosphoinositidebinding proteome analyzed by quantitative mass spectrometry. *Cell Reports*. 6:578–591. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2013.12.038
- Kadowaki, T., H. Shiozaki, M. Inoue, S. Tamura, H. Oka, Y. Doki, K. Iihara, S. Matsui, T. Iwazawa, A. Nagafuchi, et al. 1994. E-cadherin and α-catenin expression in human esophageal cancer. *Cancer Res.* 54:291–296.
- Korobova, F., and T. Svitkina. 2008. Arp2/3 complex is important for filopodia formation, growth cone motility, and neuritogenesis in neuronal cells. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* 19:1561–1574. http://dx.doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E07-09 -0964
- Koslov, E.R., P. Maupin, D. Pradhan, J.S. Morrow, and D.L. Rimm. 1997. α-catenin can form asymmetric homodimeric complexes and/or heterodimeric complexes with β-catenin. J. Biol. Chem. 272:27301– 27306. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.272.43.27301
- Kwiatkowski, A.V., S.L. Maiden, S. Pokutta, H.J. Choi, J.M. Benjamin, A.M. Lynch, W.J. Nelson, W.I. Weis, and J. Hardin. 2010. In vitro and in vivo reconstitution of the cadherin-catenin-actin complex from *Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 107:14591–14596. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1007349107
- Lewis, J.E., J.K. Wahl III, K.M. Sass, P.J. Jensen, K.R. Johnson, and M.J. Wheelock. 1997. Cross-talk between adherens junctions and desmosomes depends on plakoglobin. J. Cell Biol. 136:919–934. http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.136.4.919
- Lucas, N., and W. Cho. 2011. Phosphatidylserine binding is essential for plasma membrane recruitment and signaling function of 3-phosphoinositidedependent kinase-1. J. Biol. Chem. 286:41265–41272. http://dx.doi.org /10.1074/jbc.M111.300806

- Mattila, P.K., and P. Lappalainen. 2008. Filopodia: molecular architecture and cellular functions. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 9:446–454. http://dx.doi.org /10.1038/nrm2406
- McCrea, P.D., and C.J. Gottardi. 2016. Beyond β-catenin: prospects for a larger catenin network in the nucleus. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 17:55–64. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2015.3
- McCrea, P.D., M.T. Maher, and C.J. Gottardi. 2015. Nuclear signaling from cadherin adhesion complexes. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* 112:129–196. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/bs.ctdb.2014.11.018
- McLaughlin, S., and D. Murray. 2005. Plasma membrane phosphoinositide organization by protein electrostatics. *Nature*. 438:605–611. http://dx.doi .org/10.1038/nature04398
- Miller, P.W., S. Pokutta, A. Ghosh, S.C. Almo, W.I. Weis, W.J. Nelson, and A.V. Kwiatkowski. 2013. Danio rerio αE-catenin is a monomeric F-actin binding protein with distinct properties from Mus musculus αE-catenin. J. Biol. Chem. 288:22324–22332. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113 .458406
- Mulgrew-Nesbitt, A., K. Diraviyam, J. Wang, S. Singh, P. Murray, Z. Li, L. Rogers, N. Mirkovic, and D. Murray. 2006. The role of electrostatics in protein-membrane interactions. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1761:812–826. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2006.07.002
- Narayan, K., and M.A. Lemmon. 2006. Determining selectivity of phosphoinositide-binding domains. *Methods*. 39:122–133. http://dx.doi .org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2006.05.006
- Obama, H., and M. Ozawa. 1997. Identification of the domain of α-catenin involved in its association with β-catenin and plakoglobin (γ-catenin). *J. Biol. Chem.* 272:11017–11020. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.272.17 .11017
- Obenauer, J.C., L.C. Cantley, and M.B. Yaffe. 2003. Scansite 2.0: proteomewide prediction of cell signaling interactions using short sequence motifs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 31:3635–3641. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkg584
- Palmer, S.M., M.P. Playford, S.W. Craig, M.D. Schaller, and S.L. Campbell. 2009. Lipid binding to the tail domain of vinculin: Specificity and the role of the N and C termini. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284:7223–7231. http://dx.doi .org/10.1074/jbc.M807842200
- Park, M.J., R. Sheng, A. Silkov, D.J. Jung, Z.G. Wang, Y. Xin, H. Kim, P. Thiagarajan-Rosenkranz, S. Song, Y. Yoon, et al. 2016. SH2 domains serve as lipid-binding modules for pTyr-signaling proteins. *Mol. Cell.* 62:7–20. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2016.01.027
- Pokutta, S., H.J. Choi, G. Ahlsen, S.D. Hansen, and W.I. Weis. 2014. Structural and thermodynamic characterization of cadherin-β-catenin-α-catenin complex formation. J. Biol. Chem. 289:13589–13601. http://dx.doi.org /10.1074/jbc.M114.554709
- Postma, M., J. Roelofs, J. Goedhart, H.M. Loovers, A.J. Visser, and P.J. Van Haastert. 2004. Sensitization of *Dictyostelium* chemotaxis by phosphoinositide-3-kinase-mediated self-organizing signalling patches. *J. Cell Sci.* 117:2925–2935. http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jcs.01143
- Rangarajan, E.S., and T. Izard. 2013. Dimer asymmetry defines α-catenin interactions. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 20:188–193. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1038/nsmb.2479
- Schneider, S., K. Herrenknecht, S. Butz, R. Kemler, and P. Hausen. 1993. Catenins in *Xenopus* embryogenesis and their relation to the cadherin-mediated cell-cell adhesion system. *Development*. 118:629–640.
- Shaner, N.C., P.A. Steinbach, and R.Y. Tsien. 2005. A guide to choosing fluorescent proteins. *Nat. Methods*. 2:905–909. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038 /nmeth819
- Stahelin, R.V., and W. Cho. 2001. Differential roles of ionic, aliphatic, and aromatic residues in membrane-protein interactions: A surface plasmon resonance study on phospholipases A2. *Biochemistry*. 40:4672–4678. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bi0020325
- Stewart, D.B., and W.J. Nelson. 1997. Identification of four distinct pools of catenins in mammalian cells and transformation-dependent changes in catenin distributions among these pools. J. Biol. Chem. 272:29652– 29662. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.272.47.29652
- Svitkina, T. 2009. Imaging cytoskeleton components by electron microscopy. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 586:187–206. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60761 -376-3\_10
- Tansey, W.P. 2006. Freeze-thaw lysis for extraction of proteins from mammalian cells. CSH Protoc. http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/pdb.prot4614
- van Hengel, J., L. Gohon, E. Bruyneel, S. Vermeulen, M. Cornelissen, M. Mareel, and F. von Roy. 1997. Protein kinase C activation upregulates intercellular adhesion of α-catenin-negative human colon cancer cell variants via induction of desmosomes. J. Cell Biol. 137:1103–1116. http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.137.5.1103
- Watabe-Uchida, M., N. Uchida, Y. Imamura, A. Nagafuchi, K. Fujimoto, T. Uemura, S. Vermeulen, F. van Roy, E.D. Adamson, and M. Takeichi. 1998. α-Catenin-vinculin interaction functions to organize the apical

junctional complex in epithelial cells. J. Cell Biol. 142:847–857. http://dx .doi.org/10.1083/jcb.142.3.847

- Weekes, J., S.T. Barry, and D.R. Critchley. 1996. Acidic phospholipids inhibit the intramolecular association between the N- and C-terminal regions of vinculin, exposing actin-binding and protein kinase C phosphorylation sites. *Biochem. J.* 314:827–832. http://dx.doi.org/10.1042/bj3140827
- Yamada, S., S. Pokutta, F. Drees, W.I. Weis, and W.J. Nelson. 2005. Deconstructing the cadherin-catenin-actin complex. *Cell*. 123:889–901. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.09.020
- Yin, H.L., and P.A. Janmey. 2003. Phosphoinositide regulation of the actin cytoskeleton. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 65:761–789. http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/ annurev.physiol.65.092101.142517